UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,335	12/14/2006	Katsuki Kusunoki	Q79257	6238
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/30/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PATHAK, SHANTANU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/581,335	KUSUNOKI, KATSUKI Art Unit
SHANTANU C. PATHAK	2829

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 10 May 2010.			
 Improper Request – The Regression (s): 	dequest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.				
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date lable.			
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor				
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) <u>SHANTANU C. PATHAK</u> .	(3 <u>)Tom Thomas</u> .			
(2) <u>Ha Nguyen</u> .	(4)			
/SHANTANU C PATHAK/ Examiner, Art Unit 2829	/Ha T. Nguyen/ /Tom Thomas/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2829			